

**REMARKS**

At page 2 of the Office Communication the Examiner states that the reply filed October 11, 2005 is not fully responsive as the status identifier of claim 32 is listed twice as cancelled and once as withdrawn.

Applicant has reviewed the reply filed October 11, 2005 and notes that the status of claim 32 should read as "cancelled" with such cancellation being without prejudice or disclaimer. Specifically, the claim listing that includes claim 32 identifies the claim as cancelled. This is correct. The reference to claim 32 in the remarks indicating that "claim 32 has been cancelled" is also correct. The last reference to claim 32 in the remarks section indicates that claim 32 is withdrawn. This is incorrect as the status should read "cancelled."

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner recognize this clarification of the status of claim 32 as making the Office Action at issue fully responsive.


**Request for Allowance**

It is believed that this application is in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of this application.

If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number shown below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 24, 2006

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Steven A. Swernofsky  
Reg. No. 33,040

Swernofsky Law Group PC  
P.O. Box 390013  
Mountain View, CA 94039-0013  
(650) 947-0700